1 2 3 4 5 6	FRANK SORRENTINO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000421 ANTHONY V. SORRENTINO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000420 1118 E. Carson Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 E-Mail: carson@franksorrentino.com (702) 384-6824 Attorneys for Debtors	<u>E-filed</u> : 5/11/12							
8	UNITED STATES	BANKRUPTCY COURT							
9	DISTRIC	T OF NEVADA							
10	In re:) BK-S-12-14295-BAM							
11	ESTEBAN FRAGA and	Chapter 13 Trustee: Rick A. Yarnall							
12	OFELIA FRAGA) Tustee. Rick A. Yamaii							
13	Debtors.) Date: June 21, 2012 _) Time: 3:15 p.m.							
14)								
15 16	MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL, "STRIP OFF" AND MODIFY RIGHTS OF BANK OF AMERICA, NA, PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §506(a) AND §1322								
17	Debtors, ESTEBAN FRAGA and OF	FELIA FRAGA, by and through their attorney, the							
18 19	LAW OFFICE OF FRANK SORRENTINO, hereby move this Court for its Order valuing								
20	collateral and modifying the rights of Creditor BANK OF AMERICA, NA, pursuant to 11								
21	U.S.C. §506(a) and §1322, and Bankruptcy Rules 3012 and 9014. In furtherance of this								
22	motion, Debtors state as follows:								
23	1. Debtors filed the above	e captioned Chapter 13, case number							
24	BK-S-12-14295-BAM on April 11, 2012.								
25	• • •	re owned real preparty last to 1 4044 D							
26		rs owned real property located at 1814 Poplar							
27	Ave., Las Vegas, NV 89101 (hereinafter the "Property").								
28									

- 3. The value of the Property was \$35,000.00 as of the petition date. An appraisal, performed by Steven D. Protheroe of Desert Appraisals on April 4, 2012, verified that the appraised value of said property is \$35,000.00. (Copy of appraisal is attached hereto as Exhibit "A").
- 4. As of the petition date, the Property was encumbered by a First Trust Deed in favor of SETERUS securing a note with a principal balance of \$124,715.00.
- 5. As of the petition date, no equity existed in the Property above the claim of SETERUS with respect to the First Trust Deed.
- 6. BANK OF AMERICA, NA, holds a Second Trust Deed securing a note with a principal balance of \$34,322.00. This Second Trust Deed was wholly unsecured on the petition date and if the Property were to be sold at auction, BANK OF AMERICA, NA, would receive nothing with respect to the Second Trust Deed. This Second Trust Deed was recorded in the Office of the Clark County Recorder as instrument 20061121-04006 on November 21, 2006.
- 7. The Debtors therefore take the position that BANK OF AMERICA, NA's note secured by Second Trust Deed is unsecured and should be reclassified as a general unsecured claim to receive pro rata with other general unsecured creditors through the Debtors' Chapter 13 Plan.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

In *In re Zimmer*, 313 F.3d 1220 (9th Cir. 2002), the Court stated that a wholly unsecured lien holder's claim can be modified and reclassified as a general unsecured claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §506(a), despite the anti-modification language in §1322(b)(2). Specifically, the Court held:

Section 506(a) divides creditors' claims into "secured...claims" and "unsecured claims." Although the conventional interpretation of "secured" might include any claim in which the creditor has a security interest in the Debtors' property, §506(a) makes clear that the status of a claim depends on the valuation of the property. An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest ... is a secured claim to the extend of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property ... and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest ... is less than the amount of such allowed claim.

. . .

To put it more simply, a claim such as a mortgage is not a "secured claim" to the extent that it exceeds the value of the property that secures it. Under the Bankruptcy Code, "secured claim" is thus a term of art; not every claim that is secured by a lien on property will be considered a "secured claim." Here, it is plain that BANK OF AMERICA, NA's claim for the repayment of its loan is an unsecured claim, because its deed of trust is junior to the first deed of trust, and the value of the loan secured by the first deed of trust is greater than the value of the house.

Since BANK OF AMERICA, NA's Second Trust Deed is wholly unsecured (in that there is no equity above the first mortgage in the Property), this Court should reclassify BANK OF AMERICA, NA's second mortgage claim to a general unsecured claim to receive pro rata with like unsecured creditors. BANK OF AMERICA, NA, should also be stripped of its secured rights under State law and the recorded Second Trust Deed should be expunged from the county records to give effect to this Court's order.

Moreover, Debtors may bring a motion to "strip off" BANK OF AMERICA, NA's Second Trust Deed and are <u>not</u> required to file an adversary proceeding. See *In re Williams*, 166 B.R. 615 (Bankr.E.D.Va.1994), *In re Fuller*, 255 B.R. 300

(Bankr.W.D.Mich.2000), *In re Hoskins*, 262 B.R. 693 (Bankr.E.D.Mich.2001), *In re King*, 290 B.R. 641 (Bankr.C.D.III.2003), *In re Millspaugh*, 302 B.R. 90 (Bankr.D.Idaho2003), *Dickey v. Ben. Fin. (In re Dickey)* 293 B.R. 360 (Bankr.M.D.Pa.2003), *In re Hill*, 304 B.R. 800 (Bankr.S.D.Ohio2003); *In re Sadala*, 294 B.R. 180 (Bankr.M.D.Fla.2003), *In re Fisher*, 289 B.R. 544 (Bankr.W.D.N.Y.2003), *In re Robert*, 313 B.R. 545 (Bankr.N.D.N.Y.2004), *In re Bennett*, 312 B.R. 843 (Bankr.W.D.KY.2004).

WHEREFORE, Debtors pray that this Court:

- 1. Find that BANK OF AMERICA, NA, is not a holder of a lien on the Property with respect to the Second Trust Deed, upon Debtors' completion of their Chapter 13 Plan.
- 2. Avoid, "strip off," extinguish and expunge from the County Recorder BANK OF AMERICA, NA's wholly unsecured Second Trust Deed from the Property pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 506(a) upon Debtors' completion of their Chapter 13 Plan;
- 3. Reclassify BANK OF AMERICA, NA's claim as a general unsecured claim to be paid pro rata with other general unsecured creditors through the Debtors' Chapter 13 Plan; and

.. I c

22 | ...

Case 12-14295-led Doc 13 Entered 05/11/12 14:08:10 Page 5 of 11

4. Such other relief the Court finds appropriate.

DATED: <u>5/11/12</u>

Respectfully submitted: LAW OFFICE OF FRANK SORRENTINO

By: /s/ Frank Sorrentino, Esq.
FRANK SORRENTINO, ESQ.
Nevada Bar #000421
1118 E. Carson Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorney for Debtors

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY	
IOCATED AT-	
LOCATED AT:	
1814 Poplar Ave	
Boulder Dam Homesite ADD Tract #02 plat Book 1 Page 76 Lot 20 Block 2 Las Vegas, NV 89101	
200 10gas, 111 05 10 1	
FOR:	İ
Fraga	J
1814 Poplar Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101	Í
AS OF:	İ
04/04/2012	j
	l
BY:	į
Steven D Protheroe	ļ
	ļ
	j
	Į.
	1
	ŀ
	- 1
	į
	i
	ļ
	ľ
	1
	1
	İ
	ĺ

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report File # 1205555 The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the market value of the subject property. Property Address 1814 Poplar Ave City Las Vegas State NV Zip Code 89101 Borrower N/A Owner of Public Record Fraga Estaban & Ofelia County Clark Legal Description Boulder Dam Homesite ADD Tract #02 plat Book 1 Page 76 Lot 20 Block 2 Assessor's Parcel # 139-35-610-059 Tax Year 2012 R.E. Taxes \$ 513.71 Neighborhood Name Boulder Dam Homesite ADD Map Reference MM 45-D5 Census Tract 0005.20 Occupant 🛛 Owner 🔲 Tenant 🔲 Vacant Special Assessments \$ N/A PUD HOAS O per year per month Property Rights Appraised | Fee Simple | Leasehold Other (describe) Assignment Type Purchase Transaction Refinance Transaction Other (describe) Bankruptcy Lender/Client Fraga Address 1814 Poplar Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101 Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal? Yes 🔀 No Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and date(s). The subject has not been listed on the MLS in the past 12 months I 🗌 did 📘 did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis was not performed. Contract Price \$ N/A Date of Contract N/A Is the property seller the owner of public record? Yes No Data Source(s) Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions, gift or downpayment assistance, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf of the borrower? Yes No If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors Neighborhood Characteristics One-Unit Housing Trends One-Unit Housing
Property Values Increasing Stable Declining PRICE AGE Present Land Use % Location Urban Suburban Rural Built-Up Over 75%
Growth Rapid One-Unit 60 % ∑ 25-75%∑ Stable ☐ In Balance ☑ Over Supply Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage \$ (000) 2-4 Unit (yrs) Marketing Time ☐ Under 3 mths ☐ 3-6 mths ☐ Over 6 mths 5 % Slow Low Multi-Family 22 49 5 % Neighborhood Boundaries The Subjects Neighborhood is bounded by Owens Ave to the North, Pecos Rd 67 High Commercial 59 to the East, Charleston Blvd to the South, Las Vegas Blvd to the West. 38 Other 55 25 % Neighborhood Description The subject is located within close proximity to recreational facilities as well as parks. Employment centers are close by along with schools and major highways. No adverse factors affecting marketability were noted at time of inspection. Commercial uses are located along main routes and have no negative impact. 25% other present land use consists of vacant land, parks and recreation areas, schools. Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) Subject's market area activity/values are declining at this time. Prices appear to be softening due to over supply. Units typically sell within 1-160 day time frame if competitvely priced and marketed properly. Conventional, government financing is tightening causing a smaller pool of qualified buyers. Lone discounts, interest buydowns, and concessions are typical Dimensions Refer To Plat Map Area 6,534 Sq. Ft. Shape Regular View Neighborhood Specific Zoning Classification R-1 Zoning Description Single Family Residential District Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🔲 Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) 🗌 No Zoning 🔲 Illegal (describe) Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No. If No, describe Utilities Public Other (describe) Public Other (describe) Off-site Improvements - Type Public Private Electricity Water Street Asphalt None/Typical Sanitary Sewer 🖂 Alley None FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area 🔲 Yes 🔀 No FEMA Flood Zone 🗴 FEMA Map # 32003C2186F FEMA Map Date 11/16/2011 Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the market area? Yes No If No, describe Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easements, encroachments, environmental conditions, land uses, etc.)? Yes No If Yes, describe There are no apparent adverse conditions, however this is an extrodinary assumption as my inspection was made without the benefit of a tilte report or survey. See preliminary title report General Description Foundation Exterior Description materials/condition interior materials/condition Units One One with Accessory Unit Occide Slab Crawl Space Foundation Walls Concrete Floors Cpt, Tile/Average # of Stories Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Stucco / Ava Walls Drywail/Average Type 🔀 Det. 🗌 Att. 📗 S-Det/End Unit Basement Area O sq.ft Roof Surface Built Up / Avg Trim/Finish Wood/Average Existing Proposed Under Const. Basement Finish % Gutters & Downspouts Overhang / Avg 0 Bath Floor Tile/Average Design (Style) 1 Story Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Window Type Sliding / Avg Bath Wainscot Tile, Fiber/Average Year Built 1952 Evidence of Infestation None Obsv Storm Sash/Insulated No / Dual / Avg Car Storage None Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Years Dampness Settlement Screens Yes / Avg Driveway # of Cars 2 None Heating FWA HWBB Radiant Amenities Driveway Surface Concrete Drop Stair Stairs Other Fuel Gas Fence Block
Porch Cov. Entry Fireplace(s) # 0 Garage # of Cars 0 Floor Scuttle Cooling Central Air Conditioning Patio/Deck Conc Carport # of Cars Heated Finished __ Individual Other ⊠ Pool None Other None ☐ Att. Det. Built-in Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Dishwasher Other (describe) Finished area above grade contains: 6 Rooms 2 Bedrooms 1,224 Square Feet of Gross Living Area Above Grade 2.0 Bath(s) Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.). See additional comments Describe the condition of the property (including needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). The subject is considered to be of average quality construction, in overall average condition. No external or functional inadequacies observed at time of inspection. Physical depreciation calculated using the age/life method. Roof cover appears to be in average condition. Floor plan is adequate. Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes No If Yes, describe Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? Yes No If No, describe

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File	#	1205555	ς

	die properties current							99	,900 .
			in the past twelve mon			<u> </u>			67,500
FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1		SLE SALE # 2	↓			LE SALE # 3
Address 1814 Poplar Ave		429 N 23rd St	20121	2324 E Mesquite		1	1 Kirk /		
Las Vegas, NV Proximity to Subject	89101	Las Vegas, NV	89101	Las Vegas, NV	89101		Vegas		89101
Sale Price		U.33 miles NE	Me 22.000	0.37 miles E	20.000		miles		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft		到 り 33,000 t. ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	07.574	\$ 30,000	图图 编	537.00	生有的社	\$ 37,00
Data Source(s)	SQ.II	32.54 Sq.	L 1017074						
Verification Source(s)	pp 1754	APN # 139-35-		Taxstar & MLS #					1177671
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	APN # 139-35-5 DESCRIPTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10-073
Sales or Financing	CESCHA TION	Cash	T(-) & AUJUSUTION	Cash	+(-) \$ Adjustment	-	SCRIPT	IUN	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Concessions		Dom 10		Dom 25		Cas			
Date of Sale/Time	Jakant .	02/02/2012	-371	03/14/2012	675	_	3/2012		7.0
Location	Suburban	Suburban	-3/1	Suburban	-0/3		urban		-74
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		† 	Simple		
Site	6,534 Sq. Ft.	6,970 Sq. Ft.		6,098 Sq. Ft.	-		8 Sq. F		
View	Neighborhood	Neighborhood		Neighborhood			hborho		
Design (Style)	1 Story	1 Story		1 Story		1 Ste			
Quality of Construction	Stucco/Built Up	Frm Shngl/Bltup		Frm Shngi/Bitup			co/Buil	t Up	
Actual Age	60 Years	55 Years		64 Years			ears		
Condition	Average	Average		Average		Aver	age		
Above Grade	Total Borms. Baths			Total Borms Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	-1,000
Room Count	6 2 2.0	5 3 1.0				7	3	2.0	
Gross Living Area	1,224 sq.ft.		+4,200	1,088 sq.ft.	+2,720		1,345	sq.ft.	-2,420
Basement & Finished	0	0		0		0			
Rooms Below Grade	0	0	+	0		0			
Functional Utility Heating/Cooling	Average	Average		Average		Aver			
Energy Efficient Items	FWA / CAC	FWA / CAC	 	FWA / CAC			/ CAC		
Garage/Carport	None Noted No Garage	None Noted Carport 1	500	None Noted			Noted		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio	Patio	-500	No Garage No Patio	11.000		Sarage		
Fireplace	No Fireplace	No Fireplace	 	No Fireplace	+1,000		ireplac	_	
Upgrades / Landscaping	Avg / Avg	Avg / Avg		Avg / Avg			/ Avg	æ	4 - 14 -
Pool / Spa				/wg//wg		Avg /			
Net Adjustment (Total)	AL THE STATE OF STATE	⊠+ □-	\$ 3,829	X + D -	\$ 3,045	\neg) + 5	ā -	\$ -4,169
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 11.6 %		Net Adj. 10.2 %	5,0.0	Net Ac		1.3 %	7,700
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 22.9 %	\$ 36,829 property and comparab	Gross Adj. 14.7 %				1.3 %	\$ 32,831
My research									
ITEM	SU	BJECT L	COMPARABLE SA		OMPARABLE SALE #				ABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	None Noted		12/28/2011	02/02/					d / 3 Years
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	None Noted		\$81,045 Trustees						1/3 Years
Data Source(s)	Clark County	Assessor,MLS	Clark County Asse	ssor MLS Clark	County Assessor/	MLS	Clark	Count	ty Assessor/MLS
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	04/04/2012		04/04/2012 04/04/2012		04/04/2012				
Analysis of prior sale or transfer	history of the subject	property and compa	rable sales The	subject and com	parable sales hav	e not	been s	old w	ithin the last 3
years except as given in the	e grid of the sales	s comparison ap							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Summary of Sales Comparison Approach The subject's design, size, age, and maintenance level is compatible with the neighborhood. The closed sales displayed in the analysis were considered to be the most comparable to the subject and the best indicators of value for the subject. They are all considered reasonable purchase alternatives. A reasonable alternative reflects the economic principle of "substitution" whereby a well informed or well advised purchaser will pay no more for a property than the cost of acquiring an equally desirable substitute. Dollar adjustments are an									
estimatereflecting the market's reaction to the difference in the properties, not necessarily the cost of the difference. A "none noted" amenity entry indicates that the appraiser could not ascertain the existance or non-existance of that amenity and accordingly no adjustments were made. Sites									
Indicates that the appraiser could not ascertain the existance or non-existance of that amenity and accordingly no adjustments were made. Sites typical in size and utility were not adjusted for their square foot differences. In estimating market value each comparable was given equal									
consideration after market recognized adjustments were made.									
Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 35,000									
Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 35,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ Income Approach (if developed) \$									
Greatest consideration on Sales Comparison Analysis as the action of buyers and sellers are reflected therein. The Cost Analysis is not applicable									
with the exception of FHA financing of homes new to 12 months old. When provided, it is per lender request or information only.									
This appraisal is made \(\) "as is". \(\) subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, \(\) subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or \(\) subject to the following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair. This appraisal is made "As is" and is 100% complete. No personal property is included in the final value estimate.									
Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is \$ 35,000 , as of 04/04/2012 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal.									
35,000 , as or	04/04/2012	erior and exterior and opinion of the m	areas of the subject parket value, as defir	property, defined a led, of the real pro and the effective	cope of work, state perty that is the su date of this appra	ment ibject isal.	of assu of this	mptio report	ns and limiting is

Uniform Residentia	l Apprais	al Rep	ort	File # 1205	555	
See attached addenda.						
				-		
		· · · · · ·				
						·
	.					
				 		
		-				
	destruction and another	ares, Months and Street	Konta tari keri Stand	a hydroxida yr		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and cal		rannie Mae)		#- JA-4		
Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f		alue) Th	e cost appre	oach was not	completed.	
44. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.						
ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	OPINION OF SITE Y	VALUE	Sq.Ft. @ \$		=\$	
Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	Direction		Sq.Ft. @ \$		=5	
Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Garage/Carport				=\$ =\$	
			0 5 - 0		=\$ =\$	
		Cost-New	Sq.Ft. @ \$	••	=\$ =\$ =\$	
	Total Estimate of (Cost-New hysical	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	External	=\$ =\$	
	Total Estimate of (Less P Depreciation	hysical	Functional	External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	
	Total Estimate of C Less P	hysical of Improveme	Functional	External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	
	Total Estimate of C Less P Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si	hysical of Improveme te Improveme	Functional nts	External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	
	Total Estimate of C Less P Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE	hysical of Improvemente Improveme	Functional Ints Ints PROACH		=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	
INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	Total Estimate of C Less Pi Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE	hysical of Improvemente Improveme	Functional Ints Ints PROACH		=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	
INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier	Total Estimate of C Less Pi Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE INCLUDED DIVIDITION OF SI N/A	hysical of Improvemente Improvemente EBY COST AP Fanne Mae = \$	Functional Ints Ints PROACH	Indicated	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	pproach
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight.	Total Estimate of C Less Ph Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE As approach was	of Improvemente Improvemente Improvemente By COST AP	Functional Ints Ints PROACH J, but due to	Indicated to lack of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION	Total Estimate of C Less Ph Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE (NOT INDICATED VALUE ON TAXABLE OF SI N/A = approach was	hysical of Improvemente Improveme E BY COST AP F THE Man considered	Functional ints ints PROACH	Indicated plack of data	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the	Total Estimate of C Less Phopereciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE (NOT INDICATED VALUE (NOT INDICATED VALUE Approach was	hysical of Improvemente Improveme BY COST AP Final Mae \$ considered Detached	Functional Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints	Indicated black of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project	Total Estimate of C Less Pi Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE (not required by N/A = a approach was FOR PUD (11) NO Unit type(s) HOA and the subject	hysical of Improvemente Improvemente Improvemente E BY COST AP F. Inn. Make = \$ considered Detached t property is a	Functional Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints	Indicated black of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the	Total Estimate of C Less Phopereciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE (NOT INDICATED VALUE (NOT INDICATED VALUE Approach was	hysical of Improvemente Improvemente Improvemente E BY COST AP F. Inn. Make = \$ considered Detached t property is a	Functional Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints Ints	Indicated black of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	Total Estimate of C Less Pi Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE Finot required by N/A = a approach was FOR PUD (14-15) NO Unit type(s) HOA and the subject	hysical of Improvemente Improvemente Improvemente E BY COST AP	Functional Ints PROACH J, but due to Attact In attached dw	Indicated black of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Total Estimate of C Less Pi Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE OF PUB-11 Se approach was TOTAL number of Data source(s) No If Yes, date	hysical of Improvemente Improve	Functional Ints PROACH J, but due to Id Attact In attached dw In.	Indicated black of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Total Estimate of C Less Ph Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE As approach was TOR PUDY (L. ESP NO Unit type(s) HOA and the subject	hysical of Improvemente Improve	Functional Ints PROACH J. but due to d. Attact In attached dw n.	Indicated black of data	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECTING MATON Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Total Estimate of Cless Personal Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE INDICATE	hysical of Improvemente Improve	Functional Ints Ints PROACH Ints Attack Ints Attack Ints	Indicate b lack of data hed elling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny
Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income weight. PROJECTING MATON Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Total Estimate of C Less Pi Depreciation Depreciated Cost of "As-is" Value of Si INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE INDICATED VALUE OF PUB-11 Se approach was TOTAL number of Data source(s) No If Yes, date	hysical of Improvemente Improve	Functional Ints Ints PROACH Ints Attack Ints Attack Ints	Indicate b lack of data hed elling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ 1 Value by Income A was not given a	pproach ny

File # 1205555

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Stare Rolls	Signature
Name Steven D Protheroe	Name
Company Name Desert Appraisals, LLC	Company Name
Company Address 6295 Mcleod Drive , Suite 18, Las Vegas, NV 89120	Company Address
Telephone Number (702) 730-2989	Telephone Number
Email Address steve@desertappraisalsnv.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report April 05, 2012	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 04/04/2012	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # A.0004621-RES	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State NV	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 04/30/2013	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	☐ Did not inspect subject property
1814 Poplar Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Las Vegas, NV 89101	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 35,000	 Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
LENDER/CLIENT	Date of Inspection
Name	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Fraga	OUNIFAUADLE SALES
Company Address 1814 Poplar Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005